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2.1 EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

The University’s policy on Equal Opportunity can be located on the university’s website at http://www.una.edu/employee-policy-manual/policies/equal-employment-opportunity-policy.html.

2.2 NEPOTISM

The University’s policy on Nepotism can be located on the university’s website at http://www.una.edu/employee-policy-manual/policies/nepotism.html. This statute is applicable to all university positions, including non-tenure-track, adjunct, and student positions. Furthermore, any committee membership (i.e., University-Wide Promotion and Tenure Portfolio Review Committee) in which there may be a possible conflict of interest due to relationships shall be subject to this statute.

2.3 FACULTY EMPLOYMENT PROCEDURES

The objectives of faculty employment procedures are to hire the most qualified faculty candidates, to create transparency in the search process, and to ensure that diversity and equity are achieved in all faculty searches. For the latter objective, the Director of Diversity and Institutional Equity (DDIE) will provide assistance and guidance as outlined below.

The University defines diversity broadly as differences related to age, culture, ethnicity, gender, nationality, national origin, political affiliation, physical disability, physical attributes, race, religion, sexual orientation, and/or socioeconomic status. Search committee chairs and members of the search committees are expected to maintain communication with the DDIE throughout the search and screening processes. In the event that a search committee chair and the DDIE do not concur on any step in this protocol requiring their agreement, this matter should be resolved by the respective Executive Council member. Under the University’s enabling act, appointments to the faculty are made by the Board of Trustees upon written nomination by the President. As a matter of practice, and by express delegation of authority, the University Administration has approved the following procedures for faculty selection and appointment. (Also see Appendix 2.A, Policies Concerning Adjunct Faculty)

2.3.1 Search Guidelines for Tenure-Track and/or Non-Tenure-Track Faculty

A search process is initiated when the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost indicates that an existing position vacancy may be filled or, after consultation with the President, that a new position may be created from university resources.

1. Identification of Search Committee. Once a position has been authorized, the department chair will include, as a part of the Request to Fill/Advertise electronic form, a listing of proposed search committee members (in the appropriate field in the Online Employment System – OES). Search committees will be formed from a pool of all full-time department members, including the department chair, and should typically be made up of
no more than nine and no less than five members, at least one of whom reflects diversity. Departments lacking diversity and those with fewer than five eligible members may select additional committee members from the campus and/or community at large. In order for an individual to serve on a search committee, he/she must have evidence of participation in diversity training and search committee training, when available. The department members, including the department chair, shall select the members of the search committee, with the department chair having final approval. The department chair may elect to serve on the search committee. The search committee shall select its chair. Once the Request to Fill/Advertise is completed, it should be forwarded to the DDIE for approval via the OES. Once a search is authorized, the search committee chair will schedule a brief meeting of the committee with the DDIE and Assistant Vice President for Human Resources and Affirmative Action (DHRAA) to explore ways of attracting a diverse pool of qualified applicants.

2. Development of Job Advertisement. The committee, in consultation with all department members, including the department chair (where applicable), shall write a draft job advertisement that represents the position and include as part of the Request to Fill/Advertise electronic form. The draft advertisement shall then be forwarded to the dean for approval. If the dean objects to any wording, suggested changes and rationales are returned to the committee for consideration. Included in the advertisement is the requirement for all applicants to supply a cover letter, curriculum vita, including references, and unofficial transcripts at the time of application. The search committee shall also recommend journals and other venues in which the advertisement should appear. The search committee and the department chair (where applicable) shall be given an opportunity to offer suggestions and changes to the advertisement before the advertisement is published. The DDIE will advise as to his/her approval via the OES. If not approved, the Request to Fill/Advertise will be returned by the DDIE to the originator of the request for needed information. The VPAA and Provost shall approve the advertisement and make the final decision as to where the advertisement shall appear.

3. Receipt of Applications. All applications and supporting materials will be received and initially processed by the Office of Human Resources and Affirmative Action. Applications and supporting materials are immediately available to the DDIE via the OES. Applications and supporting materials are then presented to the appropriate committee chair via the OES. Applications can be accessed by the search committee and the DDIE via the online employment system.

4. Access to Application Material. Unlimited access to all applications and supporting materials shall be afforded all members of the search committee as well as the rest of the department, including the department chair (where applicable), the appropriate college dean, VPAA and Provost, and DDIE via the OES.

5. Confidentiality of Material. Confidentiality of material applies to all who have access to materials submitted by applicants. To the extent allowed by law, such materials shall remain otherwise confidential unless consent to release such materials is obtained from
the applicant. Members of search committees are asked to sign a Confidentiality Agreement pertaining to all committee and candidate deliberations.

6. Review of Applications. The search committee shall specify criteria to be used to evaluate all applicants. Using these criteria, the committee shall review all applications for the position. The committee members may find it useful to complete Form 1 (see Appendix 2.B) or create a modified version of this form to assist them in the selection process. The committee will review applications and supporting materials and develop an initial list of candidates with a realistic chance of receiving an offer. With the concurrence of the DDIE (via the OES), videoconference/telephone interviews may be conducted with a wide range of qualified applicants to gain initial information with respect to the candidates’ qualifications.

7. On-Campus Interviews. Following videoconference/telephone interviews, the search committee chair will designate its top candidates (typically 2 or 3) recommended for an on-campus interview. Additional candidates may be invited with approval from the DDIE, DHRAA, and the hiring unit’s senior administrator. Fewer top candidates may be invited for this purpose if the DDIE and chair concur that none of the other candidates have a realistic chance of receiving an offer. In cases where some or all of the finalists must travel a substantial distance to campus, the VPAA and Provost shall be consulted regarding the availability of financial resources for the particular search. This may limit the number of candidates ultimately invited for a campus interview. The chair of the search committee, department chair, and dean of the college approve the list which is then submitted to the VPAA and Provost for approval. If the chair of the search committee, department chair, or dean of the college disagrees concerning the list of chosen candidates, the VPAA and Provost shall meet with both parties in order to reach a final agreement. In cases where disputes are not rectified, the VPAA and Provost shall decide which candidates shall be invited for campus interviews.

8. Arrangements for On-Campus Interviews. After approval is given to invite candidates for campus interviews, the chair of the search committee shall arrange interviews, including meetings of the finalists with the search committee, the department, the department chair, and college dean. The VPAA and Provost will participate in interviews at the department chair level or higher and the VPAA and Provost and President will participate in interviews at the dean level or higher. Resume materials for candidates with on campus visits will be available via the online employment system.

9. Interview Format. A set of interview questions to be asked of each candidate shall be prepared by the search committee before campus interviews are conducted. Other questions aside from the prepared questions may be asked as well during the campus interview. As part of the interview process, the finalists may be afforded an opportunity to make a presentation to the members of the department and the university community and to answer questions in open meetings. All departmental faculty, the department chair, the college dean, the DDIE, VPAA and Provost, and President shall be provided, upon request, with evaluation/comment sheets regarding the applicants.
10. Final Evaluation of Candidates and Recommendation for Hiring. The search committee shall consider each written evaluation before making its recommendation. Further discussions between the search committee and those who completed written evaluations of the candidates interviewed are permitted as needed. Upon conclusion of all deliberations, the search committee shall recommend candidate(s) to the department for the position. If multiple candidates are recommended, these may be ranked or unranked. The members of the department shall vote on the committee’s recommendation. The chair of the search committee shall prepare a written report for the department chair and college dean detailing the results of the search committee’s decision and results of the departmental vote. This report will include a brief evaluation of the interviews for each candidate afforded a campus interview. The department chair and college dean will review the report and recommendations, make a decision, and notify the departmental faculty. The department chair will also consult with the DDIE to confirm that all diversity candidates have been given full consideration prior to a final recommendation to and selection by the President. The department chair will assign the recommended candidate the status of “Recommend for Hire” and all candidates not selected the appropriate statuses in the OES. Upon these status changes, the OES will prompt the department chair to complete the Hiring Proposal form and forward to the appropriate dean via the OES. Once all approvals are obtained, the Hiring Proposal will be forwarded to the Office of Human Resources and Affirmative Action via the OES. The Office of Human Resources and Affirmative Action will perform the appropriate background checks, and the Office of the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost will prepare an employment contract for the President’s signature. After an employment contract is offered to the candidate and accepted, the department chair will complete the Search Summary Form. Once the form is received via the OES, the candidate will be offered the contract of employment.

11. Lack of Consensus for Recommendation or Candidate Declines Offer. If the dean of the college disagrees with the recommendation of the department, the reason(s) shall be provided to the chair of the search committee. The search committee shall either recommend another candidate from the list of those interviewed on campus, recommend that other candidates from the applicant pool be invited for a campus interview, or recommend that the search process be reopened. Final approval for each alternative rests with the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. The same alternatives shall apply if the candidate or candidates decline the offer of the position. If a search is cancelled or suspended at any time throughout the process, all parties shall be informed of the reason(s).

12. Deviation from this policy may be necessary if unique circumstances exist. Exceptions to the policy must be approved by the DDIE, VPAA and Provost, and the AVPHRAA.

14. Review of Procedures. These procedures should be reviewed periodically by the Office of the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost with input from areas conducting searches the prior year and the Faculty Senate.

2.3.2 Faculty Employment Agreements

Offers of appointment are made by the President in letter form. Offers of appointment are for one year only and specify position, academic rank, contract period, effective date of appointment or position, any departmental or college standards, and a deadline date for acceptance. Offers of appointment are contingent on receipt by the VPAA and Provost of official transcripts for bachelor’s, master’s, and doctoral degrees as well as any coursework in support of faculty credentials. The appointee also will be advised by the department chair of the standards and procedures generally used in decisions affecting the renewal of contracts and tenure. As applicable, acceptance of an offer of appointment shall be in writing.

2.3.3 Background Checks

The University’s statement on Background Checks can be located at http://www.una.edu/humanresources/handbook-policies.html.

2.4 TYPES OF APPOINTMENTS

The University uses three types of faculty appointments—tenure-track, non-tenure-track, and adjunct—as follows:

2.4.1 Tenure-Track

These appointments are for full-time positions and are subject to university policy on tenure and any provisions in individual contracts of employment. Tenure-track faculty have full entitlement to the rights, privileges, and benefits accorded faculty by the University.

2.4.2 Non-Tenure-Track

These appointments are for full-time assignments but only for a limited period of time—normally one term or one academic year—with the appointment terminating automatically at the end of the period specified. For reappointment guidelines, non-tenure-track instructors should refer to Appendix 2.D, Recommended Practices for Reappointment of Full-Time Faculty at the Instructor Rank. Non-tenure-track faculty are not eligible for promotion or tenure, but do share during the period of employment the general responsibilities, privileges, and benefits accorded regular faculty.

Non-tenure-track faculty who are offered tenure-track appointments as cited in section 2.4.1 may request that their years of non-tenure-track service at UNA be counted toward their years of probationary service. Prior to the time that an offer of tenure-track employment is made, the department chair will meet with the employee regarding the proposed number of years to be counted, including the impact on tenure, and will consult with the dean who will
make a recommendation to the VPAA and Provost. The tenure-track employment letter will specify the years, if any, of non-tenure-track employment credited toward the employee’s tenure-track probationary period. As per the terms of the letter of employment, faculty members hired to fill tenure-track appointments in anticipation of being awarded the terminal degree but who have not completed the degree at the time of hire will be employed at the rank of Instructor. Upon receipt of the terminal degree in the teaching field from a properly accredited institution, the faculty member is automatically eligible for promotion to the rank of Assistant Professor upon the recommendation of the department chair and dean and final approval by the VPAA and Provost.

2.4.3 Adjunct

These appointments are part-time assignments specifying both the part-time workload and the period of time—normally one term—with the appointment terminating automatically at the end of the period specified. Adjunct appointment is typically limited to a teaching load of two courses or less per semester. Exceptions must be approved by the college dean based on a recommendation from the department chair. For additional IRS information on calculation of load limits for adjunct faculty relative to the Affordable Care Act, please refer to the following link: http://www.una.edu/humanresources/forms-and-links.html (see Shared Responsibility for Employers Regarding Health Coverage; Final Rule – specifically section VI.C.) Adjunct faculty are not eligible for promotion or tenure but do participate in the retirement plan if already holding active membership in the Teachers' Retirement System of Alabama. All adjunct faculty share the general rights and privileges accorded regular faculty except when regular and/or full-time status are stipulated. (See Appendix 2.A, Policies Concerning Adjunct Faculty)

2.5 CRITERIA FOR PROMOTION, TENURE, AND APPOINTMENT

2.5.1 General Criteria for Promotion and Tenure

As a regional, state supported institution of higher education, the University of North Alabama pursues its mission of engaging in teaching, research, and service in order to provide educational opportunities for students, an environment for discovery and creative accomplishment, and a variety of outreach activities meeting the professional, civic, social, cultural, and economic development needs of our region in the context of a global community. Faculty members seeking promotion and/or tenure are expected to demonstrate significant contributions in support of this mission as reflected in accomplishments specific to the criteria below.

1. **Effectiveness in Teaching.** The individual is evaluated upon evidence such as the following: knowledge of subject matter, including current developments in the discipline; active concern for the student's academic progress; evidence of success in supporting student research and creative activity; ability to organize and effectively present and evaluate coursework, including effectiveness in oral and written communication; ability to motivate student interest and participation; ability to relate coursework to other fields with a view to broadening the student's general knowledge; evidence of conscientious preparation; and use of effective methodology and teaching techniques.
2. **Effectiveness in Research, Scholarship, and Other Creative Activities.** The University of North Alabama fulfills its mission in research (including creative accomplishments and other forms of scholarship) through recognition that active participation in one’s academic discipline promotes intellectual growth and enhances and informs classroom, laboratory, clinical, and studio instruction. Scholarly inquiry and learning vary by discipline and the individual is evaluated upon evidence that one’s scholarly accomplishments are valued by others in the discipline. Examples of such recognition would include publication of one’s work in peer-reviewed outlets (books, monographs, journal articles) or invited contributions to scholarly works (book chapters); presentations and/or chaired sessions at professional society meetings; invited presentations at exhibits or recognition at juried shows; professional acclaim for performances or contributions to performances; honors or awards recognizing scholarly accomplishment; competitively awarded grants or contracts that support professional growth; selection as a professional referee or editor; and other generally recognized scholarly accomplishments.

3. **Effectiveness in Rendering Service.** The individual is evaluated upon evidence such as recognition in the professional field; consultant services of high professional quality in business, cultural, educational, governmental, and industrial endeavors; activities in learned and professional societies; contributions to university development and growth; effective performance on committees and administrative assignments; and contributions to the improvement of student life. Evidence of service outside the university should ideally be related to the faculty member’s profession or should contribute to promoting the University. Other contributions to the community are encouraged; however, such efforts should not constitute a majority of the evidence for effective service.

In addition to the three areas of general criteria, faculty members in areas with regional and specialized accreditation standards shall satisfy applicable credential and performance requirements.

The Board of Trustees for the University of North Alabama has determined that the degree of Master in Library Science is to be considered a terminal degree for promotional and tenure purposes. The following degrees are to be considered terminal degrees for promotional, pay, and tenure purposes: MFA in Studio Art (all fields), MFA in Creative Writing, MFA in Theatre, J.D. for Business Law, and MFA in Interior Design.

**2.5.2 Special Criteria by Ranks for Promotion, Tenure, and Appointment**

Faculty ranks of the University, including librarians, educational technologists and supervising teachers at Kilby School, are instructor, visiting (open rank) professor, assistant professor, associate professor, and professor. Only positions at the assistant professor level or higher are considered tenure-track. All others are based on renewable appointment. Determination of rank is established at the time of initial appointment. The years of appropriate experience are calculated at the end of the academic year prior to appointment. The academic year in which a promotion portfolio is submitted will count toward appropriate cumulative experience for that rank. Compensation for visiting (open rank) professors is
determined by joint agreement of the department chair, college dean, and Provost/VPAA based on duties, needs of the University, and available funds.

The University understands that the interests and areas of emphasis for faculty members change as their career develops. It is the responsibility of departments, in cooperation with their respective deans, to develop guidelines for faculty professional growth that (1) adequately define for each faculty member what his/her departmental expectations are for promotion, tenure, and year to year success, and (2) are implemented through guidance provided by the department chair to the faculty member during the annual evaluation and at other appropriate times. It is the responsibility of the college deans and Provost/VPAA to monitor equity of expectations across the University.

The following criteria and procedures below do not apply to the Department of Military Science because of the special nature of that department. Faculty from the Department of Military Science will not serve on promotion committees.

Minimum Qualifications by Rank

1. **Instructor/Visiting (open rank) Professor.** Appointment to this rank typically requires possession of a master's or higher degree in the field of assignment. For appointments without the master’s or higher degree in the field of assignment, there must be evidence of related work experience in the field, professional licensure and certifications, honors and awards, continuous documented excellence in teaching, or other demonstrated competencies and achievements that contribute to effective teaching and student learning outcomes.

2. **Assistant Professor.** Appointment and/or promotion to this rank requires possession of a doctoral degree or a terminal degree appropriate in the field of assignment as determined by university policy. There shall also be evidence of potential for effective teaching; research, scholarship, or creative activities; and service; as well as for a successful career.

3. **Associate Professor.** Appointment and/or promotion to this rank requires possession of a doctoral degree or a terminal degree appropriate in the field of assignment as determined by university policy. A minimum of eight years’ appropriate cumulative experience specific to the discipline is also required, at least three of which must be in rank as assistant professor. Effective for new hires beginning fall 2012, promotion to this rank requires that three of the eight years of cumulative experience shall be earned at UNA. In addition, the applicant shall have had successful experience in teaching; research, scholarship, or other creative activities; and service.

4. **Professor.** Appointment and/or promotion to this rank requires possession of a doctoral degree or terminal degree appropriate in the field of assignment as determined by university policy. A minimum of 12 years’ appropriate cumulative experience specific to the discipline is also required, at least three of which must be in rank as associate professor. Effective for new hires beginning fall 2012, promotion to this rank requires that three of the twelve years of cumulative experience shall be earned at UNA. In addition,
the applicant shall have established a sustained and consistent record of excellence in teaching; research, scholarship, or other creative activities; and service.

Exceptions: In rare and unique circumstances, a petition by the department chair (approved by a majority of the full-time tenure-track departmental faculty and the college dean) for a waiver of the aforementioned credential and experience requirements for any rank may be granted by the Vice President for Academic Affairs/Provost.

2.5.3 Procedure for Promotion

A. Faculty Members Who Are Not Department Chairs

The promotion process will be initiated when the faculty member submits by e-mail to the department chair, dean, and Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost a notification of intent to apply by September 15. The department chair will verify, with the Office of the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost, whether the candidate is eligible for promotion and notify the candidate by September 25. The candidate submits electronically an application and portfolio by November 1 to the department chair.

The electronic portfolio will contain the following and will be housed on a UNA server accessible only by the administration and committee members involved in the promotion review process:

1. Application for Promotion (See Appendix 2.C)

2. Current Resume or Vita (maximum length five pages)
   a. Education (Institution, major, minor, degrees awarded, and when)
   b. College/university teaching or library experience as appropriate to field (include position and dates)
   c. Other teaching or library experience (describe and include dates)
   d. Other related experience (describe and include dates)

3. Supporting information for the following items, limited to a 10-page maximum**
   a. Teaching/Library Effectiveness
   b. Scholarly or creative performance
   c. University and community service
   d. Any other relevant information

**The candidate is provided the flexibility to use his or her own discretion as to how best to demonstrate effectiveness in the categories listed in item 3. In addition to addressing the essential portfolio components in the limits given above, the candidate may place material or objects referenced in the portfolio in a designated review area as established by the college dean. The additional referenced work may be reviewed by the administration and committee members involved in the promotion process.
4. A cover letter (optional) in which the faculty member indicates degree of merit or level of prestige or quality of work specific to his/her area, in order to demonstrate quality of scholarship for university-wide committee members who may be unfamiliar with the field, as well as indicating which of the areas in item 3 should be weighed more heavily or less heavily than others.

Responsibility of the Peer Promotion Committee

In the Colleges of Arts and Sciences, Business, Education and Human Sciences, and Nursing, this committee will consist of all tenured members in a candidate’s department who are not applying for promotion. The department chair will not serve on the committee; however, the department chair will convene the first meeting and supervise the election by secret ballot of a chairperson, from among the members of the committee. In Collier Library and Educational Technology Services, the committee will consist of all tenured members of the candidate’s area who are not applying for promotion.

The dean will then perform the functions of the department chair as outlined above. The peer promotion committee members will review the candidate’s portfolio and will prepare a written evaluation of each candidate for the department chair (or dean) that addresses strengths and weaknesses in relation to the university, college, and departmental criteria established for advancement in rank. The evaluation, based on those strengths and weaknesses, will indicate the degree (exceptionally qualified, highly qualified, moderately qualified, or less qualified), to which promotion is recommended or not recommended and be recorded on the Promotion Recommendation Form no later than November 21. This written evaluation, composed by the candidate’s peer committee, should provide information directly addressing the degree of merit or level of prestige or quality of scholarly outlets cited within the candidate’s portfolio. These should include, but not be limited to, the quality of academic journals in which scholarly works appear, as well as the prestige/quality of presentations/performances (musical, theatrical, other as categorically appropriate).

For departments in the Colleges of Arts and Sciences, Business, Education and Human Sciences, and Nursing where two or fewer tenured faculty are eligible for the peer promotion committee, the department faculty will complete a committee of three, adding to that department’s tenured faculty (not applying for promotion), other tenured faculty from the college.

Responsibility of the Department Chair

When a faculty member applies for promotion, it is the responsibility of the department chair (or dean) to form a peer promotion committee by November 5. The department chair will evaluate the portfolios of the candidates in his or her department and prepare a written evaluation of each candidate that addresses strengths and weaknesses in relation to the university, college, and departmental criteria established for advancement in rank. The evaluation, based on those strengths and weaknesses, will indicate the degree (exceptionally qualified, highly qualified, moderately qualified, or less qualified) to which
promotion is recommended or not recommended and be recorded on the Promotion Recommendation Form. The department chair will forward the peer promotion committee’s recommendation, and his or her own recommendation for each candidate, to the college or area dean no later than December 12. This written evaluation, composed by the candidate’s department chair, should provide information directly addressing the degree of merit or level of prestige or quality of scholarly outlets cited within the candidate’s portfolio. These should include, but not be limited to, the quality of academic journals in which scholarly works appear, as well as the prestige/quality of presentations/performances (musical, theatrical, other as categorically appropriate). The department chair will also provide written feedback to each candidate regarding the strengths and weaknesses of the candidate’s portfolio when the final promotion decisions are announced in April. It will be the responsibility of the department chair to confirm the candidate meets the university’s eligibility requirements (e.g., years of service) for promotion to the rank being sought.

Responsibility of the College Dean

It is the responsibility of the college or area dean to review and evaluate the individuals’ portfolios as well as the recommendations of the peer promotion committees and department chairs. The dean will prepare a written evaluation of each candidate that addresses strengths and weaknesses in relation to the university, college, and departmental criteria established for advancement in rank. The evaluation, based on those strengths and weaknesses, will indicate the degree (exceptionally qualified, highly qualified, moderately qualified, or less qualified) to which promotion is recommended or not recommended and be recorded on the Promotion Recommendation Form. The dean’s recommendations as well as all previous recommendations and actions on the promotion shall be forwarded to the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost by February 15.

Responsibility of the University-Wide Promotion and Tenure Portfolio Review Committee

A university-wide portfolio review committee will serve in an advisory/supervisory capacity. This committee is to be drawn from all five faculty constituencies (four colleges and Library/Educational Technology Services faculty). The committee will have nine (9) members consisting of a minimum of one (1) member (tenured Associate and Full Professors) from each constituency plus at-large faculty to total nine. The committee will select a vice chair to serve as assistant to the chair during the first year of a two-year term and to assume the role of chair during the second year. Annually, the Faculty Senate will identify a pool of at least 15 eligible members from all tenured professors at the Associate and Full Professor ranks for recommendation to the President to serve on this committee. From this pool of candidates, the President of the University will annually, in October, select members to serve for two (2) academic years. No faculty member from a faculty constituency will be appointed for additional terms until the entire pool from that constituency has been exhausted. Only then may professors be appointed to serve another term. Exemptions from service should only be granted in extreme circumstances and then only for one (1) term. Faculty may not serve on the committee while applying for promotion.
Duties of the committee may include, but are not limited to, reviewing tenure and promotion portfolios for content; reviewing procedures/processes for adherence to stated policies with respect to tenure and promotion criteria; ensuring the missions, learning objectives, and goals of the University, various colleges, and specific departments are being met in concordance with one another with respect to tenure and promotion criteria; and concurring with, or not, the recommendations of candidates for tenure and promotion. The University-Wide Promotion and Tenure Portfolio Review Committee will focus on the 10-page portfolio (including all forms as described in section 2.5.3). Supplementary materials will be maintained separately from those portfolios. The location of the supplementary materials will be determined by the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. The language specified in section 2.5.3 with regard to evaluation of candidates’ credentials [indicating the degree (exceptionally qualified, highly qualified, moderately qualified, or less qualified) to which promotion is recommended or not recommended] should be used at all levels and on all evaluation documents and be recorded on the Promotion Recommendation Form. All portfolios that are incomplete or not in compliance with the stated guidelines (section 2.5.3) will be considered as non-responsive and rejected. All portfolios submitted by eligible candidates, regardless of recommendation(s), will move through the entire process. The timeline for reviewing promotion materials can be found in Appendix 2.C.

As soon as the new committee membership is determined and constituted, the chair will call a meeting for the express purpose of orienting the committee, especially incoming new members, to the established procedures and guidelines for the committee. All members of the committee must participate in this orientation. Departmental criteria with respect to tenure and promotion criteria, unique college criteria and policies with respect to tenure and promotion criteria, and university policies with respect to tenure and promotion criteria, will be made available to each member of the committee. After orientation, the new committee will begin its work with review of promotion portfolios, followed by review of tenure portfolios. As soon as the portfolios become available, the chair will notify the committee of the location of the portfolios on the UNA server and the committee will begin the review process. Every member of the committee will review each portfolio submitted, regardless of recommendation and concurrence at previous stages in the process. After all members have reviewed the portfolios, the committee will meet en masse to discuss each portfolio. While all members of the committee will review all portfolios, in the event a consensus agreement cannot be reached by the committee, then only full professors will vote in making the final decision on a candidate for full professor. Upon reaching a decision for each portfolio, the chair will schedule a meeting of the committee with the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. After discussing the portfolios with the committee, the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost will forward the committee’s concurrence, or lack thereof, to the President.

The committee will perform a year-end process/procedures review and prepare a report to be distributed at all levels of the process. This report should include what worked well, what did not work, and remediation recommendations.
Responsibility of the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost

The Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost will review the candidate’s portfolio and the recommendations from each peer promotion committee, department chair, and dean. By April 10, the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost will evaluate each candidate, indicating the degree (exceptionally qualified, highly qualified, moderately qualified, or less qualified) to which promotion is recommended or not recommended.

Following the decisions made by the President as outlined below, the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost will inform the college or area dean of the success or failure of the candidates as soon as possible, but not later than April 12. Candidates will be notified by the deans by April 15. Promotions will become effective on the first day of the following fall semester. The Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost will remove all portfolios from the UNA server and maintain all evaluations for safe keeping. Portfolios will be available for candidates to pick up no later than April 30.

Responsibility of the President

The President will review the individual portfolios and all recommendations. Based upon these, and in consultation with the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost, the President will establish a tentative promotion list, which will be shared with the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost and the academic deans for their final input. Informed by this process, the President will make the final decision on promotion for each candidate by April 12. The President will give due consideration in these decisions to any extraordinary circumstances, budgetary constraints, and fiduciary obligations to the University. In addition, the President shall try to ensure that the number of promotions (including department chairs) each academic college and Collier Library/Educational Technology Services receives is fair and equitable.

B. Department Chairs Applying for Promotion

Department chairs who are applying for promotion will be evaluated using a process similar to that described for other faculty members. In the case of department chairs, however, the evaluation completed by the peer promotion committee will be sent directly to the dean of the college no later than November 21. The administrative effectiveness of the department chair will be evaluated within the category of university and community service. The college dean will evaluate the department chair’s portfolio and will forward his or her evaluation and the peer promotion committee’s evaluation to the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost by February 15. The college dean and the peer promotion committee will provide written feedback to the department chair regarding strengths and weaknesses of the portfolio. By April 10, the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost will review the department chair’s portfolio, recommendations from the peer committee and college dean. These recommendations will be forwarded to the President and reviewed as outlined in part A.
2.5.4 Tenure

An award of tenure is not a right but a privilege which must be earned by a faculty member on the basis of his or her performance during a probationary period. The granting of tenure is never automatic. Normally, tenure is granted after a faculty member has been evaluated by the tenured faculty members in a department, the department chair, the college dean, the University-Wide Promotion and Tenure Portfolio Review Committee, the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost, and the President. However, the President may, after appropriate consultation, grant tenure at any time if good and sufficient reasons exist for doing so.

Policy on tenure, or continuing contract status, as adopted by the Board of Trustees of the University of North Alabama, provides that a person appointed to the faculty rank of assistant professor will serve a probationary period of five successive academic years at this University and will be granted tenure upon acceptance of an offer of appointment from the President for the sixth consecutive academic year. A person appointed to the faculty in the academic rank of associate professor will serve a probationary period of four successive academic years at this University and will be granted tenure upon acceptance of an offer of appointment from the President for the fifth consecutive academic year. A person appointed to the faculty in the academic rank of (full) professor will serve a probationary period of three successive academic years at this University and will be granted tenure upon acceptance of an offer of appointment from the President for the fourth consecutive academic year. A faculty member holding the academic rank of instructor, assistant professor, associate professor, or professor may, at the discretion of the university administration, be granted leave without breaking the successive years of employment for tenure purposes, but years of leave will not count as years of service toward tenure unless specifically granted in writing at the time leave is granted.

Except as otherwise stated herein, the following process will be followed in determining whether a faculty member will be awarded tenure:

1. The Office of the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost shall notify a probationary faculty member by October 1 of the academic year prior to the final academic year of probationary status that failure to apply for tenure by the appropriate deadline could result in an offer of a non-renewable or “terminal” academic year contract. This notice shall be made in writing and placed in the faculty member’s campus mailbox. Failure to notify by this deadline does not automatically constitute a grant of tenure or extension of the employment contract. In such situations, appropriate adjustment of deadlines for notification and portfolio submission will be made.

2. By May 1 of the academic year prior to the final academic year of probationary status, the faculty member will present to the department chair electronically an updated tenure review portfolio which provides evidence of accomplishments specific to the criteria as outlined in section 2.5.1.
Applicants for tenure will limit their portfolios to a maximum of 15 pages, including a current vita not to exceed five pages and supporting narrative not to exceed 10 pages. Supplemental materials may be provided but should be separate from the portfolio.

3. If a member of the teaching faculty has not presented a student evaluation composite or overview as part of teaching effectiveness, it will be the responsibility of the department chair to forward such materials to the department tenure committee and to the college dean.

4. The department chair shall convene a department tenure committee, consisting of all tenured faculty in the department and supervise the election, by secret ballot, of the chairperson from among the members of the committee. It is the responsibility of the department tenure committee to prepare a written evaluation of each candidate that addresses strengths and weaknesses in relation to the university, college, and departmental criteria established for tenure and by majority vote to recommend for or against the granting of tenure and to submit through the department chair to the college dean all of the information relating to the tenure recommendation by June 1.

5. It is likewise the responsibility of the department chair to prepare a written evaluation of each candidate that addresses strengths and weaknesses in relation to the university, college, and departmental criteria established for tenure and to recommend for or against the granting of tenure and to forward to the college dean all of the information relating to the tenure recommendation by June 1.

6. The college dean will review the materials presented by the department tenure committee and the department chair and will prepare a written evaluation on each candidate that addresses strengths and weaknesses in relation to the university, college, and departmental criteria established for tenure and recommend for or against the granting of tenure and to forward to the Vice President for Academic Affairs all of the information relating to the tenure recommendation by August 1.

7. The University-Wide Promotion and Tenure Portfolio Review Committee will review the materials presented by the department tenure committee, the department chair and college dean, and will make recommendations to the Vice President for Academic Affairs and forward all of the information relating to the tenure recommendation by September 15.

8. The Vice President for Academic Affairs will review all recommendations and will make recommendations to the President in advance of the October 1 notification deadline.

9. The final decision for or against the granting of tenure will be made by the President, and letters will be mailed to all candidates, with copies to the respective dean and department chair, no later than October 1 of the faculty member’s final academic year of the probationary period.

The granting of tenure requires written notice regardless of the number of years in service. This tenure policy does not apply to non-tenure-track or adjunct faculty.
2.5.5. Renewal or Termination of a Probationary Appointment

Written notice of renewal or termination of a probationary appointment will be given as follows: for the second year, not later than March 1; for the third year, not later than December 1; and for the fourth and subsequent years and until tenure is granted, not later than October 1. Written notice placed in a faculty member’s campus mailbox on or before the specified dates shall be deemed sufficient notice. Otherwise, offers of reemployment will be made by an offer of appointment as specified in Section 2.3.2 above. Acceptance of an offer of reemployment must be made in writing and received by the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost not later than 30 calendar days following the offer.

The recommendation to renew or not to renew a probationary appointment normally will originate with the department chair or other immediate supervisor. Tenured members of the department also will be consulted. After review of the recommendation by the appropriate college dean, the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost makes the final decision to renew or not to renew the appointment. The person affected will be advised of that decision in writing by the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost.

2.6 TERMINATION OF FACULTY APPOINTMENTS

2.6.1 Retirement

A faculty member electing to retire under options available through the Teachers' Retirement System of Alabama must submit written notice to the President not later than February 1 immediately preceding the expiration of the contract period. The effective date of retirement will coincide with the end of the contract period unless a different date is approved.

In compliance with current federal law, the University does not require faculty to retire or withdraw from service due to age.

2.6.2 Termination for Cause

Termination of an appointment with tenure or of a probationary, non-tenure-track, or adjunct appointment before the end of the term specified in the letter of appointment may be affected by the University only for adequate cause. The following causes, proved by substantial evidence, shall justify the termination of the above categories of faculty appointments: professional incompetence; commission of a felony; gross neglect of duty; gross insubordination; inability to fulfill terms and conditions of appointment due to medical condition; excessive absenteeism; sexual harassment; intentional violation of other university rules or regulations; any other adequate cause related directly and substantially to the fitness of a faculty member to serve in his or her capacity as a teacher, researcher, or provider of public service; bona fide financial exigency; and the discontinuance of a department or program. In the event of terminations by reason of financial exigency or discontinuation of a department or program for lack of enrollment or otherwise, the University shall make reasonable efforts to place the personnel affected in other open positions for which they may
be qualified, and in no case shall a tenured faculty position so terminated be filled within two years unless the person affected has been offered reappointment and a reasonable time in which to accept it.

Any termination for cause shall be pursuant to the due process procedures set forth in the official policy statement, Grievance and Due Process Procedures, as they exist at the time the proposal for termination originates.

2.6.3 Check-Out Procedures

Faculty members who are leaving employment with the University must be cleared by University Police, the Business Office, Collier Library, Educational Technology Services, Information Technology Services, the department chair, the Registrar's Office, the Office of Human Resources, and any other office from which they may have borrowed equipment and/or owe charges. All university equipment must be returned, and all outstanding balances must be settled. The Office of Human Resources will notify the faculty member of this requirement, and any delinquencies, prior to his or her departure from the University.

Faculty members who are granted a leave of absence will meet with their department chair who will determine, with subsequent written notification to the Assistant Vice President for Human Resources and the Vice President for Business and Financial Affairs, which of the following check-out procedures will apply: employee returns keys to the Facilities Administration and Planning Office; employee returns all departmental equipment that may be needed during the employee’s absence; employee returns all library materials, books, etc. that may be on loan to the employee; and employee returns all Information Technology Services equipment and materials that may be on loan to the employee. All faculty on leave of absence must obtain clearance from the Business Office and meet with the Office of Human Resources for health benefit information.

2.7 SANCTIONS LESS THAN TERMINATION

If the President believes that the conduct of a faculty member justifies disciplinary action or sanctions less than termination for cause, such action or sanction shall not be imposed unless the employee is availed of the due process procedures as set forth in the official policy statement, Grievance and Due Process Procedures.

2.8 DUE PROCESS PROCEDURES

This policy is applicable to faculty members with property interests in their jobs either in the form of tenure or set amounts of time remaining on contracts when either is subject to disciplinary suspension and/or dismissal from employment for cause. To assure the protection of individual rights and due process in actions involving the disciplinary suspension, dismissal, or other termination for cause (see Faculty Handbook 2.6.2, Termination for Cause), faculty members are entitled to procedural due process as outlined below:
Dismissal of a Tenured Faculty Member for Cause

Dismissal proceedings shall begin with a conference between the faculty member and the appropriate academic officer. The conference may result in agreement that the dismissal proceedings should be discontinued or that the best interest of the tenured faculty member and the University would be served by the faculty member's resignation. If this conference does not result in mutual agreement, the academic officer will submit a recommendation in writing with rationale to the faculty member and to the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost (VPAA). Within fourteen (14) days*, the VPAA should have a conference with the faculty member. At the conclusion of the conference the VPAA will make a written recommendation to the University President with a copy to the faculty member.

The VPAA's recommendation to the faculty member of the action proposed shall be in writing by certified or registered mail or by such other appropriate means as may provide delivery and proof of receipt. The recommendation shall also contain a statement of the charges or conditions supporting the action proposed as well as notice of the faculty member’s right to a full and fair hearing before a Due Process Committee according to the guidelines established in this policy.

Due Process Procedures

A faculty member who receives notice of recommendation of disciplinary suspension or dismissal by the VPAA may request, and shall be afforded, a hearing before a Due Process Committee. Failure to make a request in writing to the President within fourteen (14) days* after receipt of notification shall constitute a waiver by such faculty member of his/her right to a hearing before a Due Process Committee, and the President shall then make a final determination. On proper request for a hearing, as hereinafter provided, the President shall establish an ad hoc committee of five persons from the appropriate list of fifteen (15) nominees, from among tenured members of the University faculty, established for such purposes by the Faculty Senate at the beginning of each academic year. If the need arises during the summer terms, the President, in consultation with the Faculty Senate President, may make temporary appointments to fill vacancies on the committee.

Toward assuring a full and impartial hearing strictly on the merits of the case, due regard shall be given to the appointment to committees of persons with appropriate expertise and without bias or direct interest in the outcome, to provision for peer representation where relevant, and to adherence to hearing procedures. Persons appointed to a Due Process Committee who deem themselves disqualified by reasons of bias or interest may be excused. The faculty member shall have the right of challenge of Committee appointments for cause and, if in the judgment of the President a challenge is supported by adequate grounds, the member so challenged shall be excused. The President shall appoint replacements for members excused until a proper committee is established. The President shall designate one member of the Committee to act as chair or may appoint an additional ex officio nonvoting member to act as chair. The Committee may adopt supplemental rules and regulations for their governance and operation which are not in conflict with this resolution.
Due Process Hearing Procedures

1. Once a timely request for a hearing has been received by the President and a Due Process Committee has been established, the Committee shall, with due diligence, considering the interests of both the University and the faculty member affected, hold a hearing and report its findings and recommendations to the President and to the involved faculty member.
   a. If proper request for a hearing is made, the hearing shall be afforded not sooner than fourteen (14) or later than 21 days* from the date of receipt of the request, unless, by mutual agreement, other time limits are established by written agreement.
   b. The Due Process Committee Chair, in collaboration with both the VPAA and the faculty member shall set the date, time, and place for the hearing and shall notify both parties of such in writing at least seven (7) days* before the hearing.
      i. The Committee or the Committee Chair may confer with the parties prior to the hearing in order to review procedures, provide for scheduling of witnesses and for any necessary exchange of documents or other information, and to achieve such other objectives as may make the hearing fair, effective, and expeditious. The Committee may consider, in advance of the hearing, the statement of grounds for the proposed action already formulated and such written response as the faculty member may elect to submit.
      ii. The Committee shall be responsible for establishing the order of procedure, for conducting the question of witnesses, for securing—if necessary—the presentation of evidence important to the case, and for developing such supplemental rules and procedures for its governance and operation as are not in conflict with other provisions of this resolution.
   c. At the discretion of the President, the faculty member may be suspended pending the outcome of the proceeding if such is determined to be in the best interest of the University, the faculty member, or both. Unless forbidden by law, the salary or wages of the faculty member so suspended will be continued during the time of suspension.

2. The faculty member will be afforded an opportunity to obtain necessary witnesses and documentary or other evidence, and the administration of the University will attempt to secure the cooperation of such witnesses and will make available necessary documents and other evidence within its control. No employee of the University, regardless of position, should be excluded or excused from appearing before the Committee, if available.
   a. Not later than four (4) days* before the hearing, the VPAA and the faculty member shall present to the Due Process Committee Chair a list of the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all witnesses expected to be called to testify and the nature of the testimony and evidence to be offered by each witness.
   b. At least four (4) days* prior to the hearing, both parties shall be permitted to inspect any affidavits or exhibits he/she intends to submit at the hearing.
3. During the hearing:
   a. Both parties shall be permitted to have an advisor or attorney present who will not serve in a legal capacity but rather as a consultant. An advisor/attorney is not allowed to speak on the party’s behalf, object in any manner to any questions asked or discussions raised during the hearing, cross-examine or question witnesses in the hearing, or interrupt the hearing proceeding.
   b. A court reporter will be retained by the University to record the proceedings. A copy of the hearing transcript shall be prepared by the court reporter and distributed to both parties at no charge.
   c. The Committee, in consultation with the parties concerned, will determine whether the hearing should be public or private.
   d. Both parties shall be afforded the right to present their versions as to the charges or circumstances and to present their respective cases by way of such statements, affidavits, witnesses, and exhibits as he desires.
   e. Both parties shall have the right to confront and cross-examine all witnesses. The Committee may grant adjournments to either party to permit the investigation of evidence to which a claim of surprise is made.
   f. The Committee shall not be bound by strict rules of legal evidence and may admit any evidence which is of probative value in determining the issues involved. Reasonable effort should be made to obtain the most reliable evidence available.

4. The hearing will begin with the VPAA (or the most appropriate academic administrator in the absence of the VPAA) presenting the case for dismissal and continue with the faculty member presenting the case against dismissal. Each side may introduce evidence and/or call witnesses as desired. The faculty member and the University will have the right to cross examine all witnesses present. Affidavits are admissible whenever a witness cannot appear.

5. The burden of proof that adequate cause exists rests with the University and will be satisfied only by a preponderance (majority) of the evidence. The findings of fact in the decision shall be based solely on the hearing record.

6. The Committee, in executive session, may conclude: a) that adequate cause for the action has been established by the evidence; b) that adequate cause for the action has not been established by the evidence; or c) that adequate cause for the action has been established, but a penalty less than the action, including removal of tenure, would be more appropriate. The Committee may make any other recommendations it determines are appropriate. The Committee's written findings and recommendations shall be made to the President.

7. Within 14 days* of the receipt of the Committee’s written findings and recommendations, the President shall render a decision and so notify the faculty member in writing. Should the President's decision be inconsistent with the findings and recommendations of the Committee, the President shall state his/her reasons to the faculty member and to the Committee. Although the President's action shall be final, the faculty member may appeal adverse findings to a subcommittee of the Board of Trustees.
8. The faculty member shall have the right to request the Board to review adverse findings and recommendations of the President. The request must be in writing and filed within fourteen (14) days* after final notification by the President. If the affected faculty member does not timely request the Board’s review of the President's findings and recommendations, the President's determinations become final and binding.

9. If the appeal if filed timely, the Board has the discretion to determine whether the review will be a de novo hearing or a review of the record.

10. Public statements and publicity about the case by the University will be avoided until the proceedings, including consideration by the Board, have been concluded.

Exceptions to Due Process Procedures

Title IX infractions: Pursuant to federal law, the appeals procedures for these infractions are separate and are detailed in the University’s *Equal Opportunity, Harassment, and Nondiscrimination Policy and Procedures*.

Reorganizations, reductions in force, and nonrenewal of non-tenured faculty contracts: This procedure shall not be construed to limit the administrative discretion of the President to elect not to renew contracts of non-tenured personnel of the University or to terminate or abolish certain positions in the University for economic or other legitimate, nondiscriminatory, and constitutionally permissible reasons.

At will employees: At will employees are not entitled to due process procedures upon their termination from employment unless the President expressly grants them that right in a letter imposing the adverse action.

Definitions

The term "University" when used herein without further designation shall refer to the University of North Alabama.

The term "President" when used herein without further designation shall refer to the President of the University of North Alabama.

*Excludes weekends, University recognized holidays, and periods during which the University is closed.

2.8.1 University Ombudsman

The University’s statement on the University Ombudsman can be located on the university’s website at [http://www.una.edu/employee-policy-manual/procedures-processes-programs/ombudsman.html](http://www.una.edu/employee-policy-manual/procedures-processes-programs/ombudsman.html).
2.9 FACULTY RECORDS

Permanent record files for faculty members are maintained in the Office of the Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost. Included in these files are employment agreements, personal data records, official transcripts for bachelor’s, master’s, and doctoral degrees as well as any coursework in support of faculty credentials, correspondence, and other relevant materials. Faculty records related to payroll are maintained in the Business Office; those records relating to benefits are maintained in the Office of Human Resources. All faculty records are maintained with proper regard for security and confidentiality. Access is limited to those persons whose positions carry authorization for record use and review. Faculty members may inspect their records by appointment.

Faculty members are expected to assist in keeping their records current by reporting changes affecting directory information, tax and benefit records, additional training and degrees, research, special honors and recognitions, offices and memberships, publications, and other pertinent information.

New appointees should contact the Office of Human Resources immediately to complete all appropriate forms, including tax withholding forms, applications for insurance, retirement system membership, and other university benefits. This must be done at least two weeks prior to the end of the month in which first payment is to be made.

2.10 APPLICATION OF HANDBOOK

The provisions of this Handbook are general guidelines the University intends to apply in every applicable case. None of these provisions is intended, however, to induce continued employment or otherwise to be an offer of a contract of employment for a definite duration or to constitute an employment contract or to create a property interest under Alabama or Federal law. Initial employment contracts, and notices of promotion and tenure, are in the form of separate documents as specified in Section 2.3.2 above and must be in writing and signed by the President of UNA. This section shall not be construed, however, to affect adversely the rights of tenured faculty members in any way.