

COLLEGE ARTS&SCIENCES	PERCENTAGE RESPONSE					RESP	COLL MEAN	UNA MEAN
	1 STRONGLY DISAGREE	2 DISAGREE	3 NEUTRAL	4 AGREE	5 STRONGLY AGREE	N		
Q01 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS A THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT MATTER	0.55	0.66	1.41	9.55	87.83	10085	4.83	4.81
Q02 THE INSTRUCTORS COURSE PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-ORGANIZED	1.20	2.91	5.05	16.72	74.12	10082	4.60	4.57
Q03 THE INSTRUCTOR HAD OFFICE HOURS POSTED AND WAS AVAILABLE DURING THOSE HOURS	0.56	0.88	6.83	12.78	78.96	10079	4.69	4.68
Q04 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED ADEQUATE FEEDBACK AND/OR EVALUATION OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE	1.34	2.76	5.84	16.25	73.81	10080	4.58	4.57
Q05 THE INSTRUCTOR DEALT FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY WITH ALL CLASS MEMBERS	1.41	1.41	3.72	13.00	80.47	10080	4.70	4.69
Q06 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS EFFECTIVE ORAL COMMUNICATION SKILLS	1.37	2.51	4.46	13.93	77.73	10076	4.64	4.62
Q07 THE INSTRUCTOR WAS ON TIME FOR CLASS AND THE CLASS MET AS SCHEDULED	0.66	1.03	2.13	12.32	83.86	10072	4.78	4.76
Q08 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED LEARNING ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN LECTURE	1.99	3.52	7.02	15.40	72.07	10078	4.52	4.54
Q09 OVERALL, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER	1.50	2.22	4.65	14.17	77.46	10075	4.64	4.61
Q10 COURSE OBJECTIVES AND METHODS OF EVALUATION WERE DISTRIBUTED VIA THE CLASS SYLLABUS	0.61	0.49	2.01	11.55	85.35	10070	4.81	4.80
Q11 INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS, INCLUDING THE TEXTBOOK, WERE ADEQUATE AND APPROPRIATE	1.07	1.85	4.67	14.29	78.12	10068	4.67	4.65
Q12 THE EVALUATION PROCEDURES USED PROVIDED ME WITH AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO DEMONSTRATE MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE COURSE CONTENT	1.19	2.28	4.82	15.63	76.07	10068	4.63	4.62
Q13 THE COURSE IMPROVED MY UNDERSTANDING OF CONCEPTS AND PRINCIPLES IN THE SUBJECT MATTER COVERED	1.49	2.18	4.29	15.20	76.85	10068	4.64	4.63
Q14 THE REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA STATED IN THE SYLLABUS ACCURATELY DESCRIBED THOSE APPLIED IN THE COURSE	0.80	1.19	3.37	14.59	80.05	10063	4.72	4.71
Q15 I WOULD RECOMMEND THIS CLASS TO OTHER STUDENTS	3.09	2.79	5.68	12.86	75.57	9985	4.55	4.54
OVERALL SUMMARY	1.25	1.91	4.39	13.88	78.56	151029	4.67	4.65

COLLEGE BUSINESS	PERCENTAGE RESPONSE					RESP	COLL MEAN	UNA MEAN
	1 STRONGLY DISAGREE	2 DISAGREE	3 NEUTRAL	4 AGREE	5 STRONGLY AGREE	N		
Q01 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS A THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT MATTER	0.66	1.10	2.86	13.07	82.32	1821	4.75	4.81
Q02 THE INSTRUCTORS COURSE PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-ORGANIZED	2.31	2.97	6.32	19.11	69.30	1821	4.50	4.57
Q03 THE INSTRUCTOR HAD OFFICE HOURS POSTED AND WAS AVAILABLE DURING THOSE HOURS	0.88	1.37	8.46	15.66	73.63	1820	4.60	4.68
Q04 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED ADEQUATE FEEDBACK AND/OR EVALUATION OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE	1.98	3.46	7.26	18.97	68.33	1819	4.48	4.57
Q05 THE INSTRUCTOR DEALT FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY WITH ALL CLASS MEMBERS	0.99	1.81	4.51	15.44	77.25	1820	4.66	4.69
Q06 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS EFFECTIVE ORAL COMMUNICATION SKILLS	2.14	3.08	6.38	16.88	71.52	1819	4.53	4.62
Q07 THE INSTRUCTOR WAS ON TIME FOR CLASS AND THE CLASS MET AS SCHEDULED	1.15	2.36	4.62	16.10	75.77	1820	4.63	4.76
Q08 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED LEARNING ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN LECTURE	2.31	3.74	7.21	18.26	68.48	1818	4.47	4.54
Q09 OVERALL, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER	2.69	3.35	6.10	15.82	72.05	1821	4.51	4.61
Q10 COURSE OBJECTIVES AND METHODS OF EVALUATION WERE DISTRIBUTED VIA THE CLASS SYLLABUS	0.71	0.88	3.46	14.89	80.05	1820	4.73	4.80
Q11 INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS, INCLUDING THE TEXTBOOK, WERE ADEQUATE AND APPROPRIATE	1.92	2.20	6.37	17.69	71.81	1820	4.55	4.65
Q12 THE EVALUATION PROCEDURES USED PROVIDED ME WITH AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO DEMONSTRATE MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE COURSE CONTENT	1.70	2.75	5.71	19.45	70.38	1820	4.54	4.62
Q13 THE COURSE IMPROVED MY UNDERSTANDING OF CONCEPTS AND PRINCIPLES IN THE SUBJECT MATTER COVERED	1.98	2.37	5.67	17.22	72.77	1818	4.56	4.63
Q14 THE REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA STATED IN THE SYLLABUS ACCURATELY DESCRIBED THOSE APPLIED IN THE COURSE	1.32	1.49	4.29	17.50	75.40	1817	4.64	4.71
Q15 I WOULD RECOMMEND THIS CLASS TO OTHER STUDENTS	4.05	3.82	7.43	15.13	69.57	1804	4.42	4.54
OVERALL SUMMARY	1.79	2.45	5.77	16.75	73.25	27278	4.57	4.65

COLLEGE EDUCATION	PERCENTAGE RESPONSE					RESP	COLL MEAN	UNA MEAN
	1 STRONGLY DISAGREE	2 DISAGREE	3 NEUTRAL	4 AGREE	5 STRONGLY AGREE	N		
Q01 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS A THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT MATTER	0.44	1.15	2.44	10.30	85.66	2252	4.80	4.81
Q02 THE INSTRUCTORS COURSE PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-ORGANIZED	1.82	3.55	5.60	14.35	74.68	2251	4.57	4.57
Q03 THE INSTRUCTOR HAD OFFICE HOURS POSTED AND WAS AVAILABLE DURING THOSE HOURS	0.44	1.33	6.66	10.26	81.30	2251	4.71	4.68
Q04 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED ADEQUATE FEEDBACK AND/OR EVALUATION OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE	1.47	2.93	5.64	12.31	77.64	2250	4.62	4.57
Q05 THE INSTRUCTOR DEALT FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY WITH ALL CLASS MEMBERS	1.29	1.47	3.33	10.93	82.98	2250	4.73	4.69
Q06 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS EFFECTIVE ORAL COMMUNICATION SKILLS	1.20	3.64	4.76	11.29	79.11	2250	4.63	4.62
Q07 THE INSTRUCTOR WAS ON TIME FOR CLASS AND THE CLASS MET AS SCHEDULED	0.53	1.78	2.71	10.80	84.18	2251	4.76	4.76
Q08 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED LEARNING ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN LECTURE	1.16	1.38	3.95	12.48	81.03	2251	4.71	4.54
Q09 OVERALL, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER	1.82	2.49	5.06	11.95	78.68	2251	4.63	4.61
Q10 COURSE OBJECTIVES AND METHODS OF EVALUATION WERE DISTRIBUTED VIA THE CLASS SYLLABUS	0.40	1.16	1.91	10.00	86.54	2251	4.81	4.80
Q11 INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS, INCLUDING THE TEXTBOOK, WERE ADEQUATE AND APPROPRIATE	0.93	1.60	5.20	12.04	80.23	2251	4.69	4.65
Q12 THE EVALUATION PROCEDURES USED PROVIDED ME WITH AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO DEMONSTRATE MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE COURSE CONTENT	1.16	2.18	4.53	12.84	79.29	2250	4.67	4.62
Q13 THE COURSE IMPROVED MY UNDERSTANDING OF CONCEPTS AND PRINCIPLES IN THE SUBJECT MATTER COVERED	1.38	2.94	3.60	11.97	80.12	2248	4.67	4.63
Q14 THE REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA STATED IN THE SYLLABUS ACCURATELY DESCRIBED THOSE APPLIED IN THE COURSE	0.76	1.42	4.49	11.24	82.09	2250	4.72	4.71
Q15 I WOULD RECOMMEND THIS CLASS TO OTHER STUDENTS	2.37	2.19	5.19	11.11	79.13	2233	4.62	4.54
OVERALL SUMMARY	1.14	2.08	4.34	11.59	80.84	33740	4.69	4.65

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH ALABAMA - COURSE/COLLEGE EVALUATIONS  
FALL 2011

COLLEGE NURSING	PERCENTAGE RESPONSE					RESP	COLL MEAN	UNA MEAN
	1 STRONGLY DISAGREE	2 DISAGREE	3 NEUTRAL	4 AGREE	5 STRONGLY AGREE	N		
Q01 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS A THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT MATTER	1.27	4.17	3.81	13.07	77.68	551	4.62	4.81
Q02 THE INSTRUCTORS COURSE PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-ORGANIZED	2.18	7.26	6.35	16.70	67.51	551	4.40	4.57
Q03 THE INSTRUCTOR HAD OFFICE HOURS POSTED AND WAS AVAILABLE DURING THOSE HOURS	0.55	0.91	6.36	12.73	79.45	550	4.70	4.68
Q04 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED ADEQUATE FEEDBACK AND/OR EVALUATION OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE	3.99	5.99	5.26	14.16	70.60	551	4.41	4.57
Q05 THE INSTRUCTOR DEALT FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY WITH ALL CLASS MEMBERS	4.00	4.73	6.55	11.64	73.09	550	4.45	4.69
Q06 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS EFFECTIVE ORAL COMMUNICATION SKILLS	3.45	6.72	5.81	15.06	68.97	551	4.39	4.62
Q07 THE INSTRUCTOR WAS ON TIME FOR CLASS AND THE CLASS MET AS SCHEDULED	0.55	1.82	2.19	12.57	82.88	549	4.75	4.76
Q08 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED LEARNING ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN LECTURE	2.90	4.36	4.72	16.88	71.14	551	4.49	4.54
Q09 OVERALL, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER	3.45	6.90	7.26	11.98	70.42	551	4.39	4.61
Q10 COURSE OBJECTIVES AND METHODS OF EVALUATION WERE DISTRIBUTED VIA THE CLASS SYLLABUS	0.91	0.36	2.18	10.53	86.03	551	4.80	4.80
Q11 INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS, INCLUDING THE TEXTBOOK, WERE ADEQUATE AND APPROPRIATE	1.63	5.26	4.17	13.07	75.86	551	4.56	4.65
Q12 THE EVALUATION PROCEDURES USED PROVIDED ME WITH AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO DEMONSTRATE MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE COURSE CONTENT	2.36	5.08	6.35	15.06	71.14	551	4.48	4.62
Q13 THE COURSE IMPROVED MY UNDERSTANDING OF CONCEPTS AND PRINCIPLES IN THE SUBJECT MATTER COVERED	2.19	5.48	4.75	15.72	71.85	547	4.50	4.63
Q14 THE REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA STATED IN THE SYLLABUS ACCURATELY DESCRIBED THOSE APPLIED IN THE COURSE	1.46	2.74	3.47	15.90	76.42	547	4.63	4.71
Q15 I WOULD RECOMMEND THIS CLASS TO OTHER STUDENTS	5.38	5.57	7.05	11.50	70.50	539	4.36	4.54
OVERALL SUMMARY	2.41	4.49	5.08	13.77	74.24	8241	4.53	4.65