		RESP						
	1 STRONGLY DISAGREE	2 DISAGREE	3 NEUTRAL	4 AGREE	5 STRONGLY AGREE	N	COLL MEAN	UNA MEAN
Q01 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS A THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT MATTER	0.48	0.45	1.58	8.55	88.93	8358	4.85	4.82
QO2 THE INSTRUCTORS COURSE PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-ORGANIZED	1.14	2.67	4.32	15.13	76.74	8355	4.64	4.60
QO3 THE INSTRUCTOR HAD OFFICE HOURS POSTED AND WAS AVAILABLE DURING THOSE HOURS	0.57	0.73	6.26	11.66	80.77	8353	4.71	4.71
Q04 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED ADEQUATE FEEDBACK AND/OR EVALUATION OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE	1.29	2.91	5.18	14.70	75.92	8354	4.61	4.59
Q05 THE INSTRUCTOR DEALT FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY WITH ALL CLASS MEMBERS	1.05	1.63	3.56	11.47	82.30	8354	4.72	4.71
Q06 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS EFFECTIVE ORAL COMMUNICATION SKILLS	1.11	2.48	4.37	13.11	78.93	8353	4.66	4.64
Q07 THE INSTRUCTOR WAS ON TIME FOR CLASS AND THE CLASS MET AS SCHEDULED	0.60	0.95	2.09	11.53	84.83	8354	4.79	4.76
Q08 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED LEARNING ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN LECTURE	1.44	3.16	5.87	14.25	75.29	8352	4.59	4.59
Q09 OVERALL, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER	1.34	2.05	4.24	12.90	79.48	8352	4.67	4.64
Q10 COURSE OBJECTIVES AND METHODS OF EVALUATION WERE DISTRIBUTED VIA THE CLASS SYLLABUS	0.55	0.49	1.71	10.86	86.38	8351	4.82	4.80
Q11 INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS, INCLUDING THE TEXTBOOK, WERE ADEQUATE AND APPROPRIATE	0.90	1.44	4.19	13.79	79.68	8348	4.70	4.68
Q12 THE EVALUATION PROCEDURES USED PROVIDED ME WITH AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO DEMONSTRATE MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE COURSE CONTENT	1.20	1.71	4.17	14.75	78.17	8346	4.67	4.65
Q13 THE COURSE IMPROVED MY UNDERSTANDING OF CONCEPTS AND PRINCIPLES IN THE SUBJECT MATTER COVERED	1.23	1.68	4.33	13.55	79.21	8344	4.68	4.66
Q14 THE REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA STATED IN THE SYLLABUS ACCURATELY DESCRIBED THOSE APPLIED IN THE COURSE	0.70	0.85	3.17	13.44	81.85	8335	4.75	4.73
Q15 I WOULD RECOMMEND THIS CLASS TO OTHER STUDENTS	2.67	2.35	5.64	11.38	77.96	8284	4.60	4.57
OVERALL SUMMARY	1.08	1.70	4.04	12.74	80.43	125193	4.70	4.68

		RESP						
COLLEGE BUSINESS	1 STRONGLY DISAGREE	2 DISAGREE	3 NEUTRAL	4 AGREE	5 STRONGLY AGREE	N	COLL MEAN	UNA MEAN
Q01 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS A THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT MATTER	0.88	1.16	2.48	12.91	82.56	1812	4.75	4.82
Q02 THE INSTRUCTORS COURSE PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-ORGANIZED	1.44	3.98	7.95	18.44	68.19	1811	4.48	4.60
QO3 THE INSTRUCTOR HAD OFFICE HOURS POSTED AND WAS AVAILABLE DURING THOSE HOURS	0.17	0.72	9.22	14.30	75.59	1811	4.64	4.71
QO4 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED ADEQUATE FEEDBACK AND/OR EVALUATION OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE	1.82	4.47	7.23	16.39	70.09	1812	4.48	4.59
Q05 THE INSTRUCTOR DEALT FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY WITH ALL CLASS MEMBERS	0.77	1.33	4.81	14.86	78.23	1810	4.68	4.71
Q06 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS EFFECTIVE ORAL COMMUNICATION SKILLS	1.71	3.37	5.20	16.09	73.63	1809	4.57	4.64
Q07 THE INSTRUCTOR WAS ON TIME FOR CLASS AND THE CLASS MET AS SCHEDULED	1.49	3.15	4.30	15.45	75.61	1812	4.61	4.76
Q08 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED LEARNING ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN LECTURE	1.60	3.92	7.01	17.33	70.14	1812	4.50	4.59
Q09 OVERALL, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER	2.43	3.48	6.62	17.77	69.70	1812	4.49	4.64
Q10 COURSE OBJECTIVES AND METHODS OF EVALUATION WERE DISTRIBUTED VIA THE CLASS SYLLABUS	0.66	0.83	3.15	13.94	81.42	1808	4.75	4.80
Q11 INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS, INCLUDING THE TEXTBOOK, WERE ADEQUATE AND APPROPRIATE	1.21	2.48	5.30	16.07	74.93	1811	4.61	4.68
Q12 THE EVALUATION PROCEDURES USED PROVIDED ME WITH AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO DEMONSTRATE MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE COURSE CONTENT	1.38	3.15	5.80	17.62	72.04	1810	4.56	4.65
Q13 THE COURSE IMPROVED MY UNDERSTANDING OF CONCEPTS AND PRINCIPLES IN THE SUBJECT MATTER COVERED	1.77	3.54	5.41	17.57	71.71	1810	4.54	4.66
Q14 THE REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA STATED IN THE SYLLABUS ACCURATELY DESCRIBED THOSE APPLIED IN THE COURSE	0.94	1.27	6.24	15.64	75.91	1810	4.64	4.73
Q15 I WOULD RECOMMEND THIS CLASS TO OTHER STUDENTS	4.46	4.51	7.52	13.87	69.64	1795	4.40	4.57
OVERALL SUMMARY	1.51	2.76	5.88	15.89	73.96	27145	4.58	4.68

		RESP						
	1 STRONGLY DISAGREE	2 DISAGREE	3 NEUTRAL	4 AGREE	5 STRONGLY AGREE	N	COLL MEAN	UNA MEAN
Q01 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS A THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT MATTER	0.61	0.66	2.41	9.57	86.74	2278	4.81	4.82
QO2 THE INSTRUCTORS COURSE PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-ORGANIZED	1.80	3.03	4.35	13.70	77.13	2278	4.61	4.60
QO3 THE INSTRUCTOR HAD OFFICE HOURS POSTED AND WAS AVAILABLE DURING THOSE HOURS	0.97	0.48	6.15	10.27	82.13	2278	4.72	4.71
Q04 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED ADEQUATE FEEDBACK AND/OR EVALUATION OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE	1.67	2.81	4.96	12.86	77.71	2279	4.62	4.59
Q05 THE INSTRUCTOR DEALT FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY WITH ALL CLASS MEMBERS	1.40	1.71	3.78	10.58	82.53	2278	4.71	4.71
Q06 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS EFFECTIVE ORAL COMMUNICATION SKILLS	1.93	2.28	4.52	12.47	78.80	2278	4.64	4.64
Q07 THE INSTRUCTOR WAS ON TIME FOR CLASS AND THE CLASS MET AS SCHEDULED	1.10	1.05	3.12	11.06	83.67	2278	4.75	4.76
Q08 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED LEARNING ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN LECTURE	1.36	2.50	5.09	11.16	79.89	2277	4.66	4.59
Q09 OVERALL, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER	1.67	2.19	5.00	11.24	79.89	2278	4.65	4.64
Q10 COURSE OBJECTIVES AND METHODS OF EVALUATION WERE DISTRIBUTED VIA THE CLASS SYLLABUS	0.97	1.05	2.46	10.02	85.49	2275	4.78	4.80
Q11 INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS, INCLUDING THE TEXTBOOK, WERE ADEQUATE AND APPROPRIATE	1.23	2.07	4.70	11.34	80.66	2275	4.68	4.68
Q12 THE EVALUATION PROCEDURES USED PROVIDED ME WITH AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO DEMONSTRATE MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE COURSE CONTENT	1.67	1.98	4.40	12.22	79.74	2275	4.66	4.65
Q13 THE COURSE IMPROVED MY UNDERSTANDING OF CONCEPTS AND PRINCIPLES IN THE SUBJECT MATTER COVERED	1.85	2.15	4.00	11.16	80.84	2275	4.67	4.66
Q14 THE REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA STATED IN THE SYLLABUS ACCURATELY DESCRIBED THOSE APPLIED IN THE COURSE	1.45	1.06	3.52	10.91	83.06	2273	4.73	4.73
Q15 I WOULD RECOMMEND THIS CLASS TO OTHER STUDENTS	2.64	2.20	5.11	9.78	80.26	2269	4.63	4.57
OVERALL SUMMARY	1.49	1.82	4.24	11.22	81.24	34144	4.69	4.68

		RESP						
COLLEGE NURSING	1 STRONGLY DISAGREE	2 DISAGREE	3 NEUTRAL	4 AGREE	5 STRONGLY AGREE	N	COLL MEAN	UNA MEAN
Q01 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS A THOROUGH KNOWLEDGE OF THE SUBJECT MATTER	0.21	2.33	2.11	15.86	79.49	473	4.72	4.82
QO2 THE INSTRUCTORS COURSE PRESENTATIONS WERE WELL-ORGANIZED	1.27	6.98	6.34	16.07	69.34	473	4.45	4.60
QO3 THE INSTRUCTOR HAD OFFICE HOURS POSTED AND WAS AVAILABLE DURING THOSE HOURS	0.21	0.85	5.29	13.11	80.55	473	4.73	4.71
Q04 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED ADEQUATE FEEDBACK AND/OR EVALUATION OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE	1.90	3.38	6.34	16.07	72.30	473	4.53	4.59
Q05 THE INSTRUCTOR DEALT FAIRLY AND IMPARTIALLY WITH ALL CLASS MEMBERS	1.48	2.54	4.23	14.38	77.38	473	4.64	4.71
Q06 THE INSTRUCTOR HAS EFFECTIVE ORAL COMMUNICATION SKILLS	2.33	4.02	5.92	14.59	73.15	473	4.52	4.64
Q07 THE INSTRUCTOR WAS ON TIME FOR CLASS AND THE CLASS MET AS SCHEDULED	0.42	1.06	1.06	15.68	81.78	472	4.77	4.76
Q08 THE INSTRUCTOR PROVIDED LEARNING ENHANCEMENT ACTIVITIES OTHER THAN LECTURE	1.49	2.55	5.10	18.05	72.82	471	4.58	4.59
Q09 OVERALL, THE INSTRUCTOR WAS AN EFFECTIVE TEACHER	1.27	3.40	7.43	15.07	72.82	471	4.55	4.64
Q10 COURSE OBJECTIVES AND METHODS OF EVALUATION WERE DISTRIBUTED VIA THE CLASS SYLLABUS	0.21	0.85	1.49	12.31	85.14	471	4.81	4.80
Q11 INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS, INCLUDING THE TEXTBOOK, WERE ADEQUATE AND APPROPRIATE	0.64	2.76	4.46	12.95	79.19	471	4.67	4.68
Q12 THE EVALUATION PROCEDURES USED PROVIDED ME WITH AN ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITY TO DEMONSTRATE MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE COURSE CONTENT	0.85	2.33	6.36	14.62	75.85	472	4.62	4.65
Q13 THE COURSE IMPROVED MY UNDERSTANDING OF CONCEPTS AND PRINCIPLES IN THE SUBJECT MATTER COVERED	0.21	3.00	4.94	14.81	77.04	466	4.65	4.66
Q14 THE REQUIREMENTS AND CRITERIA STATED IN THE SYLLABUS ACCURATELY DESCRIBED THOSE APPLIED IN THE COURSE	0.64	1.72	3.00	15.67	78.97	466	4.71	4.73
Q15 I WOULD RECOMMEND THIS CLASS TO OTHER STUDENTS	2.60	2.60	7.14	12.77	74.89	462	4.55	4.57
OVERALL SUMMARY	1.05	2.69	4.75	14.80	76.71	7060	4.63	4.68